

Secondary “distal lahars” after the ~4.2 ka BP Cerro Blanco Plinian eruption, Argentina: implications for source parameter estimation and volcanic hazard assessment

*Walter Báez^{1,2}, Shanaka de Silva¹

¹ College of Earth, Ocean, and Atmospheric Sciences, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331-5503, United States of America.
geowbsalta@gmail.com, shanaka.deSilva@oregonstate.edu

² IBIGEO (UNSa-CONICET), av. Bolivia 5150, A4400FVY Salta, Argentina.

* Corresponding author: geowbsalta@gmail.com

ABSTRACT. Mass flows can remobilize ash-rich tephra deposited tens to hundreds of kilometers from its source during large Plinian eruptions, forming secondary “distal lahars”. Despite its significance, this process has received little attention, particularly from a hazard perspective. Recognizing remobilization processes in distal tephra is crucial for accurately estimating eruptive source parameters (e.g., volume) from pyroclastic deposits and ensuring the reliable use of these deposits for tephrochronological purposes. In this study, we present a facies analysis of distal tephra deposits associated with the ~4.2 ka BP Cerro Blanco Plinian caldera-forming eruption (Northwest Argentina). Although previous studies have classified this eruption as a Volcanic Explosivity Index 7 event, many distal deposits appear to have been remobilized, raising questions about that classification. Our observations indicate that secondary “distal lahars” were the dominant remobilization mechanism in distal areas in the aftermath of the eruption. These findings have implications for both hazard assessment and the estimated volume of the Cerro Blanco eruption, which may warrant revision.

Keywords: Tephra remobilization, Tephrochronology, Eruption volume, Volcanic hazard, Cerro Blanco.

RESUMEN. “Lahares distales” secundarios tras la erupción Pliniana del Cerro Blanco, Argentina (~4,2 ka AP): implicancias para la estimación de parámetros fuente y la evaluación del peligro volcánico. Los flujos de masa pueden remobilizar ceniza volcánica depositada a decenas o cientos de kilómetros de su fuente durante grandes erupciones plinianas, formando “lahares distales” secundarios. A pesar de su importancia, este proceso ha recibido escasa atención, especialmente desde una perspectiva de evaluación de peligros volcánicos. El reconocimiento de los procesos de removilización en tefras distales es crucial para estimar con precisión los parámetros eruptivos de la fuente (e.g., volumen) a partir de los depósitos piroclásticos, así como para asegurar el uso confiable de estos depósitos con fines tefrocronológicos. En este estudio, se presenta un análisis de facies de los depósitos de tefra distales asociados con la erupción pliniana del Cerro Blanco (~4,2 ka AP, Noroeste de Argentina). Estudios previos han clasificado esta erupción como un evento con un Índice de Explosividad Volcánica 7; sin embargo, muchos de los depósitos distales parecen haber experimentado removilización, lo que ha generado un debate sobre esa clasificación. Los resultados expuestos aquí indican que los “lahares distales” secundarios fueron el principal mecanismo de removilización en áreas alejadas del volcán tras la erupción. Esto presenta implicancias tanto para la evaluación del peligro volcánico como para la estimación del volumen de la erupción del Cerro Blanco, lo cual podría requerir una actualización.

Palabras clave: Removilización de tefra, Tefrocronología, Volumen eruptivo, Peligros volcánicos, Cerro Blanco.

1. Introduction

Plinian eruptions, with Volcanic Explosivity Index (VEI) values ranging from 4 to 8, are among the most powerful natural hazards, capable of causing massive economic and human losses, even on a global scale (e.g., Robock, 2000; Newhall *et al.*, 2018). These eruptions produce buoyant, vertically directed eruptive columns that can rise tens of kilometers because of sustained, high-mass eruption rates at the vent (Wilson *et al.*, 1980; Cioni *et al.*, 2015). At its maximum height, the eruptive column spreads laterally, forming an umbrella cloud that can be transported thousands of kilometers by wind (Wilson *et al.*, 1980; Cioni *et al.*, 2015). Tephra deposits from Plinian eruptions typically consist of thick blankets of well-sorted, pumice-rich lapilli in proximal areas, transitioning downwind into ash-rich beds that cover vast regions (Walker, 1981; Giordano *et al.*, 2024).

Beyond the immediate impacts of tephra dispersion and fallout during Plinian eruptions, such as threats to public health, disruption of critical infrastructure, aviation hazards, and impacts on crop and livestock production (Jenkins *et al.*, 2015), tephra remobilization by wind or water can cause severe, long-lasting effects that persist for decades to even millennia (e.g., Willson *et al.*, 2011; Mingari *et al.*, 2017; Paredes-Mariño *et al.*, 2022). In particular, torrential rains can remobilize tephra accumulated on the volcano's flanks, triggering secondary lahars (Smith and Lowe, 1991; Vallance, 2005, 2024; Harpel *et al.*, 2011; Manville *et al.*, 2013; Vallance and Iverson, 2015). While this process has been extensively studied, mainly due to its significance during major historical eruptions (e.g., Pierson *et al.*, 1990, 1992; Janda *et al.*, 1996; Lavigne *et al.*, 2000; Castruccio *et al.*, 2010), rain-driven remobilization of ash-rich tephra deposited tens to hundreds of kilometers from the volcano months to years following large Plinian eruptions has received significantly less attention, particularly from a hazard perspective (e.g., Córdoba *et al.*, 2015). We refer to these remobilized distal deposits as secondary "distal lahars" (Baumann *et al.*, 2018, 2020; Mulas *et al.*, 2019; Buckland *et al.*, 2020; Cortés-Jiménez, 2020; Di Vito *et al.*, 2023; Losano *et al.*, 2024).

In the Argentine Andes of South America, the Cerro Blanco eruption was a large rhyolitic, caldera-forming Plinian event that occurred approximately 4.2 ka BP. It produced widely dispersed fallout

deposits and pyroclastic density currents (PDCs) that inundated surrounding valleys up to 35 km from the vent (Báez *et al.*, 2015, 2020a; Fernández-Turiel *et al.*, 2019). Current estimates indicate that the Cerro Blanco eruption was a VEI 7 event, with a dense-rock equivalent eruption volume of 83.5 km³ (Fernández-Turiel *et al.*, 2019). Due to its size, the eruption blanketed a vast portion of northern Argentina with ash (Fernández-Turiel *et al.*, 2019; Boretto *et al.*, 2021) and likely had a significant impact on Middle Holocene human occupation in northwestern Argentina (Montero-López *et al.*, 2009; Ratto, 2013; Carbonelli *et al.*, 2022). Some studies, however, indicate that distal tephra outcrops associated with Cerro Blanco show evidence of local remobilization, potentially affecting the reliability of volume and VEI estimates (Sampietro-Vattuone *et al.*, 2020; Báez *et al.*, 2020a).

To date, no detailed sedimentological study has assessed whether these distal tephra are primary or secondary in origin, an essential step for deriving accurate eruptive source parameters from pyroclastic deposits. In this study, we address that knowledge gap through a facies analysis of distal Cerro Blanco tephra deposits in the Tafi Valley area (Tucumán Province), ~200 km east of the source. Our primary objective is to investigate the character (primary, remobilized, or reworked) of these distal ashes and rigorously assess their volcanological and tephrochronological implications.

2. Geological setting

2.1. Cerro Blanco Volcanic Complex

The Cerro Blanco Volcanic Complex (CBVC) is a nested caldera complex located on the southern edge of the Central Volcanic Zone of the Andes, within the San Buenaventura Volcanic Chain (Fig. 1A, B) (Seggiaro *et al.*, 2006; Montero-López *et al.*, 2010; Báez *et al.*, 2015). Its volcanic products, all rhyolitic to rhyodacitic in composition, span from the Late Pleistocene to the Holocene (Montero-López *et al.*, 2010; Báez *et al.*, 2015; de Silva *et al.*, 2022). The CBVC comprises PDCs and fall deposits associated with two major Plinian caldera-forming eruptions (Báez *et al.*, 2015; Fig. 1C).

The oldest of these eruptions produced the Campo de la Piedra Pómez ignimbrite and its associated fallout deposits (Báez *et al.*, 2020b, 2025).




FIG. 1. **A.** Location of the Central Volcanic Zone (CVZ) and the Cerro Blanco Volcanic Complex (CBVC) within the geodynamic framework of South America. **B.** Location of the intermontane Tafi Valley within the geological provinces of northwestern Argentina. SBVC: San Buenaventura Volcanic Chain; EC: Eastern Cordillera. Pink stars indicate additional sampling and/or observation sites referred to in the text. **C.** Simplified stratigraphy of the CBVC (modified from Báez *et al.*, 2015, and de Silva *et al.*, 2022).

The Campo de la Piedra Pómez eruption was a VEI ≥ 6 event which began with a sustained Plinian phase, during which partial column collapse generated small, highly mobile PDCs (Báez *et al.*, 2025). Caldera collapse followed this stage and involved a mixed eruptive style that combined pyroclastic

fountaining (boiling-over) from fissure-like vents with a convective Plinian column. As the eruption progressed, Plinian activity gradually ceased. Zircon Double Dating indicates an age of ~30 ka for the Campo de la Piedra Pómez eruption (Báez *et al.*, 2025).

The youngest major eruption at the CBVC was the ~4.2 ka BP (calibrated ^{14}C age) Cerro Blanco caldera-forming event, classified as a VEI 7 eruption (Fernández-Turiel *et al.*, 2019). This eruption involved the simultaneous development of convective and collapsing column dynamics, generating widely dispersed fallout deposits and extensive PDCs (Báez *et al.*, 2020a). Holocene activity at the CBVC also includes smaller-scale explosive eruptions, as well as several pre- and post-caldera lava domes with associated block-and-ash flows (Montero-López *et al.*, 2010; Báez *et al.*, 2015, 2017). The system remains active today, as evidenced by geothermal activity (Chiodi *et al.*, 2019; Lamberti *et al.*, 2021) and ongoing subsidence (Henderson and Pritchard, 2013; Vélez *et al.*, 2021).

2.2. Tafi Valley

The Tafi Valley is located in northwestern Argentina (Tucumán Province), approximately 200 km east of the CBVC (Fig. 1B). It is one of the many semi-arid intermontane valleys situated east of the southern Puna Plateau, along the Eastern Cordillera and the Sierras Pampeanas (Fig. 1B). The topographic highs in the Tafi Valley area consist of granite and metamorphic rocks of Precambrian-lower Paleozoic age (Ruiz Huidobro, 1972; Toselli *et al.*, 1978; Galván, 1981) and minor Paleogene deposits (González, 1997). The valley's Holocene infill includes several intermountain sequences, at least five of which are ash layers, encompassing the ~4.2 ka BP Cerro Blanco eruption deposit (Sampietro-Vattuone *et al.*, 2020). Holocene sedimentation in the Tafi Valley reflects a succession of aggradational episodes punctuated by periods of fluvial incision, which together define four distinct stratigraphic units (Sampietro-Vattuone and Peña-Monné, 2019). The oldest unit (H1) records Early Holocene (~13-4.2 ka BP) landscape evolution and is mainly preserved as colluvial and other slope-related deposits. A Late Holocene (~4.2-0.6 ka BP) interval of enhanced sediment accumulation (H2) succeeded this unit, during which sedimentation extended across hillslopes and fluvial environments, producing terraces and alluvial fan deposits. More restricted fluvial and alluvial bodies assigned to units H3 and H4 record subsequent sedimentary activity and are confined to incised channels that truncate the older deposits. These youngest units document depositional processes operating from ~0.6 ka BP

up to the present day (Sampietro-Vattuone and Peña-Monné, 2019).

3. Methodology

3.1 General approach

We conducted a detailed analysis of lateral facies variations on the eastern side of the Tafi Valley along a transect following the drainage network (Fig. 2A, B). We selected this area because it provides exceptional exposures of Holocene ash layers and the highest tephrochronological resolution in northwestern Argentina (*e.g.*, Hermanns and Schellenberger, 2008; Fernández-Turiel *et al.*, 2019; Sampietro-Vattuone *et al.*, 2020; Báez *et al.*, 2024). We examined three Holocene sections in detail where previous studies identified tephras associated with the Cerro Blanco eruption (Fig. 2B). Two of the studied sections contain the so-called “double” Cerro Blanco tephra: two geochemically identical yet stratigraphically distinct ash layers, which previous studies interpreted as evidence of two large Holocene eruptions from the CBVC (Hermanns *et al.*, 2000, 2006; Hermanns and Schellenberger, 2008; Sampietro-Vattuone *et al.*, 2020; Báez *et al.*, 2024). This study does not aim to evaluate that hypothesis but rather to identify remobilization processes within these deposits.

3.2. Methods and terminology

We use the terms “primary” and “secondary volcanoclastic deposits” following the definitions proposed by Sohn and Sohn (2019) and Di Capua *et al.* (2023). Primary volcanoclastic deposits are formed when particles are generated, transported, and emplaced directly by volcanic processes. In contrast, secondary volcanoclastic deposits consist of particles that originate from volcanic activity but are transported and deposited by non-volcanic mechanisms such as alluvial, fluvial, and/or aeolian processes. We also adopt the term “remobilized pyroclastic deposits” as a synonym for secondary volcanoclastic deposits, following the usage by Petrinovic and D'Elia (2018). Additionally, we use the term “volcanic epiclastic deposits” to refer to deposits derived from the weathering and/or erosion of volcanic materials, in which particles are transported and redeposited by non-volcanic




FIG. 2. **A.** Holocene sections along the drainage network of the Tafi Valley described in this paper. **B.** Stratigraphic profiles showing lateral changes in facies associations and thicknesses along the drainage network. V2: Upper Holocene ash described by Sampietro-Vattuone *et al.* (2020), unrelated to the Cerro Blanco Volcanic Complex. **C.** Grain size and componentry of the tephra layers present in the stratigraphic profiles. **D-E.** Scanning electron microscopy images (**D**) and interpreted sketches (**E**) of vitric shards (green outlines) from the facies recognized in the field. Note the comparison of micro-pumice morphology (blue outline) from an active pyroclast-rich dune (sample location in figure 1B). Note that in the detailed map in **A**, north is oriented toward the bottom of the panel.

processes (Di Capua *et al.*, 2023). This usage implies the reworking of volcanic material (*e.g.*, Petrinovic and D'Elia, 2018). In this study, we consider remobilization and reworking to be distinct processes and therefore do not use the terms interchangeably (*e.g.*, Petrinovic and D'Elia, 2018).

We describe the distal tephra in the field using a non-genetic approach, focusing on thickness, color, grain size, componentry, homogeneity, contacts with underlying and overlying deposits, and internal structures. We adopted the nomenclature proposed by Branney and Kokelaar (2002) to classify each facies as they mostly consist of well-preserved pyroclastic material. However, its application here is inherently interpretive since the studied sections contain both primary deposits and syn-eruptive and/or early post-eruptive remobilized pyroclastic deposits, and not primary deposits only. In addition, because the primary and remobilized pyroclastic deposits described here share most of their general sedimentological characteristics (*e.g.*, componentry, texture, grain size), we describe all facies using nomenclature commonly applied to pyroclastic deposits (*e.g.*, Froese *et al.*, 2006).

We use the term “mass flow” as a general descriptor for any gravity-driven downslope movement of material (*e.g.*, Iverson, 2003). These flows encompass a spectrum of phenomena involving mixtures of particles and interstitial fluid, ranging from dilute flows to more concentrated forms such as granular avalanches and debris flows (Iverson, 2003).

Lapilli and ash grain size subdivisions follow White and Houghton (2006). We collected distal tephra samples for grain size and componentry analyses while carefully avoiding contamination from adjacent layers. We manually sieved the samples at 1 ϕ intervals to determine grain size distributions and processed the resulting data using the Folk and Ward (1957) method implemented in GRADISTAT (Blott and Pye, 2001). We estimated the componentry of each size fraction using a binocular microscope. We further characterized glass-shard textures using images acquired with a JEOL scanning electron microscope at the Scanning Electron Microscopy and Microanalysis Laboratory, National University of Salta, Argentina. For comparison, we also obtained scanning electron microscope images of pyroclastic particles from a pyroclast-rich active dune located near the study area (see location in figure 1B).

4. Results and interpretation

We identified three main pyroclastic facies in the Holocene sections of the Tafi Valley, which we describe and interpret in detail below.

Massive Tuff (*mT*) facies: corresponds to structureless, fine-ash-rich deposits composed predominantly of vitric shards (>90%) and minor crystal fragments (<10%) (Fig. 2C). This facies occurs as tabular to lenticular bodies with undulating but sharp lower and upper contacts (Fig. 3A), and we observed it only in the basin catchment zone, associated with peatland environments forming <50 cm-thick monofacial levels. Previous studies have described similar facies elsewhere within the Tafi Valley but always associated with low-energy environments (*e.g.*, Hermanns and Schellenberger, 2008). Bioturbation is quite common. Vitric shards display variable shapes: cusate, platy, and blocky, and show good preservation of their primary morphology, as do the micro-pumice fragments (Fig. 2D, E). Crystals include quartz, plagioclase, and large (<2 mm) biotite grains. The absence of internal structures and epiclastic contamination, both indicative of remobilization, supports the interpretation of the *mT* facies as a primary fallout deposit.

Thin-bedded Tuff (*tbT*) facies: corresponds to thin-bedded, fine-ash-rich deposits composed predominantly of vitric shards (>90%), crystals (~5%), and some accidental lithic clasts (<5%) (Fig. 2C). It is the most developed facies in the lower parts of the basin and always occurs together with the *tsT* facies (see below), forming tabular to extended lenticular bodies (local valley pond or channelized morphologies) (Fig. 2B). It presents sharp lower and upper contacts (Fig. 3B, C). The bulk grain size distribution and componentry of the *tbT* facies are quite similar to those of the *mT* facies (Fig. 2C), but internally, the *tbT* facies exhibits thin bedding generated by alternating coarse and fine ash layers (Fig. 4A-C). Truncations of individual layers are rare, and low-angle cross-bedding is absent. As with the *mT* facies, vitric shards in the *tbT* facies display variable shapes, such as cusate, platy, and blocky. Micro-pumices are also present, and, as with the vitric shards, the preservation of their primary morphologies is good (Fig. 2D, E). Accidental lithic clasts can reach 3-4 cm, making them outsized clasts when compared to the bulk granulometry of the deposit (Fig. 4A). The presence of these outsized clasts, along with




FIG. 3. Field view of the three sections studied in the Tafi Valley area. **A.** CBT6. **B.** CBT5. **C.** CBT7. *mT*: Massive Tuff facies; *tbT*: Thin-bedded Tuff facies; *tsT*: Thin-stratified Tuff facies.

the absence of cross-stratification or significant bed truncations, is consistent with a high-strength debris flow regime. However, we interpret the alternation of fine and coarse ash layers as the result of rapid deposition from a hyperconcentrated flow, without the development of high-relief bedforms, or from

aggrading deposition within a bipartite traction carpet related to density-stratified flows (Sohn, 1997).

Thin-stratified Tuff (tsT) facies: correspond to thin-stratified, coarse ash-rich deposits formed predominantly by vitric shards (~70-80%), crystals (~25-15%), and lithic clasts (~5%) (Fig. 2C). Internally,




FIG. 4. Internal features and stratigraphic relationships of the thin-bedded Tuff (*tbT*) and the thin-stratified Tuff (*tsT*) facies. **A.** Example of the three different positions in which the *tsT* facies appears in relation to the *tbT* facies. The *tsT* facies is always present at the base of the *tbT* facies and occasionally at the top. Both facies interbedded are a far less frequent occurrence. Note the outsized lithic clast (OSC, blue outline) in the *tbT* facies. **B.** Thin bedding generated by alternating coarse and fine ash in the *tbT* facies and alternating, parallel, thin beds of coarse ash/scarcely lapilli and fine to coarse ash in the *tsT* facies. **C.** Detail of the bedding in the *tbT* facies. **D.** Load-driven soft deformation indicating water saturation of the *tsT* facies. **E.** Truncation of individual layers and planar cross-stratification in the *tsT* facies. See figure 3B for section location.

this facies exhibits alternating, parallel, thin beds of coarse ash/scarcely lapilli and fine to coarse ash (Fig. 4A, B). Truncation of individual layers, planar cross-stratification, and load-driven soft deformation are also common (Fig. 4B, D, E). The *tsT* facies is markedly different from previous facies, both in grain size distribution and componentry (Fig. 2C). It is fine-ash poor and has a much higher presence of crystals and lithic clasts than the *mT* and *tbT* facies (Fig. 2C). However, glass shards and micro-pumices have a similar morphology and degree of preservation when compared to those from the *mT* and *tbT* facies (Fig. 2D, E). Lithic clasts are mainly basement rocks similar to those outcropping in the Tafi Valley region. Crystals include quartz, plagioclase, and large biotite (up to 2 mm). The *tsT* facies always occurs associated with the *tbT* facies in the lower parts of the basin. Specifically, the *tsT* facies systematically develops at the base of the *tbT* facies, while it only occasionally appears interbedded with the *tbT* facies or at its top (Figs. 2B and 5). This facies association presents progressive downstream increase in thickness and epiclastic contribution (Fig. 2A, B). For instance, at the CBT5 section the *tsT/tbT* facies association is ~50 cm thick, whereas at CBT7 (~8 km downstream) it thickens to up to 2 m. It is worth noting that we also observed this increase in thickness towards the main channels of the individual sub-basins in the upper reaches of the Tafi Valley (Sampietro-Vattuone and Peña-Monné, 2016). The *tsT/tbT* facies association occurs as tabular bodies with sharp lower and upper contacts (Fig. 4A) or as extended lenticular bodies (local valley pond or channelized morphologies). Beyond the Tafi Valley area, previous studies also recognized this facies association at ~70–90 km east of the CBVC (e.g., Cuesta de Randolph site; Figs. 1B and 5).

The *tsT* facies, characterized by fine-ash-poor grain size and planar cross-stratification, indicates an increase in water content with respect to the *tbT* facies and, consequently, greater turbulence in the parental flow. Load-driven soft deformation supports this interpretation, indicating water saturation in the deposit. Despite this, the internal fabric of the *tsT* facies, dominated by parallel horizontal stratification, leads us to infer deposition from hyperconcentrated flows transitional to streamflow (Fig. 6).

We interpret the *tsT/tbT* facies association as representing the head and tail (*tsT* facies) of ash-rich hyperconcentrated flash flood events (*tbT* facies).

The relatively higher proportion of “local” epiclastic material in the *tsT* facies further supports its interpretation as the waxing and waning phases of these flows (Fig. 6; Vallance and Iverson, 2015). We interpret the occurrence of the *tsT* facies interbedded within the *tbT* facies as evidence of successive mass flow surges. Additionally, the good preservation of glass shards and, in general, a low degree of epiclastic contamination, suggest remobilization during a short-lived torrential event, rather than long-term ash reworking (e.g., by pyroclastic-rich dunes or large fluvial systems). Ash remobilization by torrential mass flows likely occurred shortly after primary sedimentation and subsequent epiclastic deposits quickly buried the remobilized ash.

5. Discussion

5.1. Hazard implications

Remobilization processes frequently affect distal tephra deposits from large eruptions due to their widespread distribution and the variety of depositional environments in which they accumulate. Unlike the immediate primary hazards associated with an eruption, tephra remobilization represents a secondary hazard that can persist over extended timescales. Identifying the key mechanisms of tephra remobilization following past eruptions is essential for understanding the post-eruptive evolution of cascading hazardous phenomena in the distal environment.

Previous studies interpreted the *tsT* facies as primary fall deposits, with the observed stratification attributed to instabilities in the eruptive column (facies CB₂1; Fernández-Turiel *et al.*, 2019). Similarly, the *tbT* facies was also interpreted as primary fall deposits generated during a phase of the eruption characterized by a more stable column (facies CB₂2; Fernández-Turiel *et al.*, 2019). Additionally, the presence of anomalous several-meter-thick fall deposits located hundreds of kilometers from the CBVC (e.g., site CBT7; Fig. 3C) was attributed to particle aggregation within the volcanic plume or to turbulent perturbations induced by topography (Fernández-Turiel *et al.*, 2019). However, both the *tsT* and *tbT* facies exhibit internal features compatible with deposition from mass flows. In addition, thickness variations, including valley-pond lenticular morphologies, along the drainage network of the Tafi Valley (Fig. 2B) strongly suggest over-thickening




FIG. 5. Meter-thick outcrop at Cuesta de Randolph (~80 km east of the Cerro Blanco Volcanic Complex; see location in figure 1B). Note the typical *tbT/tsT* facies association, inferred as a hyperconcentrated flow deposit (secondary “distal lahar”).

caused by remobilization of primary fallout deposits due to mass flow processes (Fig. 6). Thus, the ash-rich hyperconcentrated mass flows described here can be defined as secondary “distal lahars” (e.g., Córdoba *et al.*, 2015; Mulas *et al.*, 2019). Lahars are highly energetic events involving the remobilization of loose volcanic material by debris flows and hyperconcentrated flows (Pierson *et al.*, 1990, 1992; Smith and Lowe, 1991; Lavigne *et al.*, 2000; Witham, 2005; Manville *et al.*, 2009; De Bélizal *et al.*, 2013; Pistolesi *et al.*, 2014; Pierson and Major, 2014). Primary lahars are directly triggered by eruptive events, typically through the catastrophic drainage of crater lakes or the interaction of PDCs with snow or ice (e.g., Pierson *et al.*, 1990; Manville and Cronin, 2007). In contrast, secondary lahars result from the remobilization of loose pyroclastics, usually triggered by heavy rainfall (e.g., Pierson *et al.*, 1992; Capra *et al.*, 2010; De Bélizal *et al.*, 2013; Charbonnier *et al.*, 2018).

While lahars are commonly associated with volcanic slopes, “distal lahars” can also develop in surrounding mountainous regions, sometimes hundreds of kilometers from the source (Córdoba *et al.*, 2015; Mulas *et al.*, 2019). Immediately following large eruptions, freshly deposited ash typically causes a sharp reduction in soil permeability, leading to increased surface runoff, erosion, and sediment mobilization (Yamakoshi *et al.*, 2005; Manville *et al.*, 2009; Pierson and Major, 2014; Baumann *et al.*, 2020). This rapid landscape alteration is especially evident in regions with steep terrain or intense rainfall. Ash remobilization is often initiated by rill and sheet erosion, as well as by shallow landslides, usually during the first significant rainfall following the eruption (Baumann *et al.*, 2020). These processes can quickly strip the surface of its fine ash mantle and transport large volumes of sediment downslope into river systems, altering sediment budgets and geomorphic dynamics. The magnitude of rain-induced




FIG. 6. **A.** Conceptual model based on Vallance and Iverson (2015) of the main processes behind the remobilization of distal fall deposits in the Tafi Valley area, shortly after the ~4.2 ka BP Cerro Blanco eruption. **B.** Flow dynamics and deposit architecture of secondary “distal lahars”.

ash remobilization is controlled by various interrelated factors, including rainfall intensity, slope angle, grain size distribution, substrate hydraulic conductivity, and deposit thickness (Baumann *et al.*, 2018, 2020).

The *tbT/tsT* facies association related to mass flow events appears to be a regionally conspicuous feature of the distal deposits from the Cerro Blanco eruption, especially in the Tafi Valley and surrounding areas (Figs. 2 and 5; figure 6 in Fernández-Turiel *et al.*, 2019). Additionally, distal tephra associated with other Quaternary eruptions in northwestern Argentina also show evidence of mass flow remobilization shortly after deposition (*e.g.*, Campo de la Piedra Pómez eruption; Báez *et al.*, 2025). Hence, the generation of hyperconcentrated secondary lahars likely represents the most common mechanism of

loose tephra remobilization in the intermontane valleys of northwestern Argentina.

In the southern Puna region, in contrast, wind remobilization plays a dominant role (*e.g.*, de Silva, 2010; de Silva *et al.*, 2010, 2013; Mingari *et al.*, 2017). Evidence of long-term wind remobilization of tephra from the ~4.2 ka BP Cerro Blanco eruption is still visible in the Fiambalá Valley, located south of the CBVC (Mingari *et al.*, 2017). Furthermore, prolonged aeolian remobilization following the eruption likely played a significant role in altering hunter-gatherer settlement patterns in northwestern Argentina (Carbonelli *et al.*, 2022).

Our results highlight the importance of hyperconcentrated mass flows as a key mechanism of ash remobilization immediately after the eruption.

While the dynamics and hazard implications of wind-induced ash resuspension and proximal primary or secondary lahars have been extensively studied worldwide, widespread secondary “distal lahars” triggered by large Plinian eruptions remain underexplored (*e.g.*, Córdoba *et al.*, 2015), representing an overlooked volcanic hazard in northwestern Argentina and in other volcanically active regions.

5.2. Implications for future tephrochronological and volcanological research

Despite evidence of remobilization recorded in facies *tbT* and *tsT* (internal structures and local overthickening), our results show a relatively homogeneous glass shard-rich componentry with well-preserved primary textures, suggesting that reworking was limited in extent and likely occurred shortly after deposition. Consequently, while these deposits may not be suitable for ultra-high-resolution tephrochronological analyses, they can still offer valuable chronostratigraphic information (Lowe, 2011). This makes them particularly useful for volcanological, stratigraphic, neotectonic, geoarchaeological, and paleoclimatic studies (Sampietro-Vattuone and Peña-Monné, 2016; Peña Monné and Sampietro-Vattuone, 2018).

Given the extensive spatial distribution of the fall deposits from the Cerro Blanco eruption, we agree that it probably ranks among the most significant Holocene volcanic events in the Andes, and even possibly on a global scale. We, however, caution that our reinterpretation of the *tbT/tsT* facies association as remobilized fallout deposits raises important questions about the volume and VEI estimates of the Cerro Blanco eruption presented in earlier studies (Fernández-Turiel *et al.*, 2019). As we discussed above, many of the outcrops used for those estimates show clear evidence of remobilization (see figure 6 in Fernández-Turiel *et al.*, 2019). We therefore propose that a revised volume estimation is needed, based on a detailed reassessment of the most relevant outcrops to confirm that only primary deposits are being considered (*e.g.*, Buckland *et al.*, 2020).

6. Conclusions

A comprehensive facies analysis of the distal tephra deposits associated with the ~4.2 ka BP Cerro Blanco eruption, combined with a review

of existing literature, indicates that most of these deposits resulted from remobilization by rain-triggered hyperconcentrated flows, which can be classified as secondary “distal lahars”. This process appears to be an overlooked volcanic hazard in northwestern Argentina. The compositional, textural, and granulometric similarity of these deposits to layers considered primary fall deposits suggest that remobilization was likely localized and occurred shortly after the eruption. Consequently, the distal tephra deposits related to the Cerro Blanco eruption remain tephrochronologically reliable; however, their use for estimating eruptive source parameters (*e.g.*, eruption volume) should be carefully evaluated on an outcrop-by-outcrop basis to discard remobilized material.

Acknowledgments

This work has been supported by the US National Science Foundation grant EAR 2243737. We also thank Agustín Ortiz for his enthusiastic support during the fieldwork. Finally, we would like to thank Dr. D. Bertin for his meticulous editorial work, as well as Dr. A. Di Capua and an anonymous reviewer for their constructive comments and valuable suggestions, which significantly improved this manuscript.

References

- Báez, W.; Arnosio, M.; Chiodi, A.; Ortiz Yañes, A.; Viramonte, J.G.; Bustos, E.; Giordano, G.; López J.F. 2015. Estratigrafía y evolución del Complejo Volcánico Cerro Blanco, Puna Austral, Argentina. *Revista Mexicana de Ciencias Geológicas* 32 (1): 29-49. <https://rmcg.geociencias.unam.mx/index.php/rmcg/article/view/273>
- Báez, W.A.; Chiodi, A.L.; Bustos, E.; Arnosio, J.M.; Viramonte, J.G.; Giordano, G.; Alfaro Ortega, B.B. 2017. Mecanismos de emplazamiento y destrucción de los domos lávicos asociados a la caldera del Cerro Blanco, Puna Austral. *Revista de la Asociación Geológica Argentina* 74 (2): 223-238. <https://revista.geologica.org.ar/raga/article/view/254>
- Báez, W.; Bustos, E.; Chiodi, A.; Reckziegel, F.; Arnosio, M.; de Silva, S.; Giordano, G.; Viramonte, J.G.; Sampietro-Vattuone, M.M.; Peña-Monné J.L. 2020a. Eruptive style and flow dynamics of the pyroclastic density currents related to the Holocene Cerro Blanco eruption (Southern Puna plateau, Argentina). *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 98: 102482. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsames.2019.102482>

- Báez, W.; de Silva, S.; Chiodi, A.; Bustos, E.; Giordano, G.; Arnosio, M.; Suzaño, N.; Viramonte, J.G.; Norini, G.; Groppelli, G. 2020b. Pulsating flow dynamics of sustained, forced pyroclastic density currents: insights from a facies analysis of the Campo de la Piedra Pómez ignimbrite, southern Puna, Argentina. *Bulletin of volcanology* 82 (7): 53. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00445-020-01385-5>
- Báez, W.; Bardelli, L.; Sampietro-Vattuone, M. M.; Monné, J.P.; Berteau, E.; Cirer, M. 2024. Revisiting the Holocene tephrochronology of northwestern Argentina: Insights from geochemical characterization of the tephra from the Tafi valley. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 134: 104745. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsames.2023.104745>
- Báez, W.; de Silva, S.; Cirer, M.; Berteau, E.; Constantinescu, R.; Danišik, M.; Schmitt, A.K.; Ramos F. 2025. Dynamics of one of the largest Quaternary explosive eruptions in the Andes: The ca. 29 ka Campo de la Piedra Pómez VEI ≥ 6 caldera-forming eruption, NW Argentina. *Bulletin of Volcanology* 87 (9): 72. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00445-025-01858-5>
- Baumann, V.; Bonadonna, C.; Cuomo, S.; Moscariello, M.; Manzella, I. 2018. Slope stability models for rainfall-induced lahars during long-lasting eruptions. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 359: 78-94. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2018.06.018>
- Baumann, V.; Bonadonna, C.; Cuomo, S.; Moscariello, M. 2020. Modelling of erosion processes associated with rainfall-triggered lahars following the 2011 Cordon Caulle eruption (Chile). *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 390: 106727. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2019.106727>
- Blott, S.J.; Pye, K. 2001. GRADISTAT: a grain size distribution and statistics package for the analysis of unconsolidated sediments. *Earth surface processes and Landforms* 26 (11): 1237-1248. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/esp.261>
- Boretto, G.; Zanchetta, G.; Bini, M.; Cioccale, M.; Carignano, C.; Gordillo, S.; Giaccio B.; Fernández Turiel, J.L.; Arienzo I.; Isola, I. 2021. Cerro Blanco 4.2 ka volcanic ash deposit at Cerro Colorado (Córdoba Province, Argentina). *Comitato Glaciologico Italiano; Geografía Física e Dinámica Cuaternaria* 44 (2): 173-181. <https://doi.org/10.4461/GFDQ.2021.44.13>
- Branney, M.J.; Kokelaar, B.P. 2002. Pyroclastic density currents and the sedimentation of ignimbrites. *Geological Society of London. Memoirs* 27 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.MEM.2003.027.01.10>
- Buckland, H.M.; Cashman, K.V.; Engwell, S.L.; Rust, A.C. 2020. Sources of uncertainty in the Mazama isopachs and the implications for interpreting distal tephra deposits from large magnitude eruptions. *Bulletin of Volcanology* 82 (3): 23. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00445-020-1362-1>
- Capra, L.; Borselli, L.; Varley, N.; Gavilanes-Ruiz, J. C.; Norini, G.; Sarocchi, D.; Caballero L.; Cortes, A. 2010. Rainfall-triggered lahars at Volcán de Colima, Mexico: surface hydro-repellency as initiation process. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 189 (1-2): 105-117. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2009.10.014>
- Carbonelli, J.P.; Fernandez-Turiel, J.L.; de Medina, C.B.L. 2022. The Abra del Toro rock shelter, northwestern Argentina, a space occupied by hunter-gatherers that was hit by the large 4.2 ka Cerro Blanco eruption. *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports* 45: 103629.
- Castruccio, A.; Clavero, J.; Rivera, A. 2010. Comparative study of lahars generated by the 1961 and 1971 eruptions of Calbuco and Villarrica volcanoes, Southern Andes of Chile. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 190 (3-4): 297-311. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2009.12.005>
- Charbonnier, S.J.; Connor, C.B.; Connor, L.J.; Sheridan, M.F.; Oliva Hernández, J.P.; Richardson, J.A. 2018. Modeling the October 2005 lahars at Panabaj (Guatemala). *Bulletin of Volcanology* 80 (1): 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00445-017-1169-x>
- Chiodi, A.; Tassi, F.; Báez, W.; Filipovich, R.; Bustos, E.; Galli, M.G.; Suzaño, N.; Ahumada, M.F.; Viramonte, J.G.; Giordano, G.; Pecoraino, G.; Vaselli, O. 2019. Preliminary conceptual model of the Cerro Blanco caldera-hosted geothermal system (Southern Puna, Argentina): Inferences from geochemical investigations. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 94: 102213. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsames.2019.102213>
- Cioni, R.; Pistolesi, M.; Rosi, M. 2015. Plinian and subplinian eruptions. In *The Encyclopedia of Volcanoes (Second Edition)* (Sigurdsson, H.; Houghton, B.; Rymer, H.; Stix, J.; McNutt, S.; editors). Academic press: 519-535. Amsterdam. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-385938-9.00029-8>
- Córdoba, G.; Villarosa, G.; Sheridan, M. F.; Viramonte, J.G.; Beigt, D.; Salmuni, G. 2015. Secondary lahar hazard assessment for Villa la Angostura, Argentina, using Two-Phase-Titan modelling code during 2011 Cordón Caulle eruption. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences* 15 (4): 757-766. <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-15-757-2015>
- Cortés-Jiménez, G.P. 2020. Holocene lahar deposits associated with the eruptive activity of Cerro Machín Volcano, Colombia: Impact on landscape and associated potential hazard. In *The Geology of Colombia*, Volume

- 4 Quaternary (Gómez, J.; Pinilla-Pachon, A.O.; editors). Servicio Geológico Colombiano: 297-331. Bogotá.
- De Bélizal, E.; Lavigne, F.; Hadmoko, D. S.; Degeai, J.P.; Dipayana, G.A.; Mutaqin, B.W.; Vidal, C. 2013. Rain-triggered lahars following the 2010 eruption of Merapi volcano, Indonesia: A major risk. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 261: 330-347. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JVOLGEORES.2013.01.010>
- de Silva, S. 2010. The largest wind ripples on Earth: Comment. *Geology* 38 (9): e218. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G30780C.1>
- de Silva, S.L.; Bailey, J.E.; Mandt, K.E.; Viramonte, J.M. 2010. Yardangs in terrestrial ignimbrites: Synergistic remote and field observations on Earth with applications to Mars. *Planetary and Space Science* 58 (4): 459-471. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pss.2009.10.002>
- de Silva, S.L.; Spagnuolo, M.G.; Bridges, N.T.; Zimelman, J.R. 2013. Gravel-mantled megaripples of the Argentinean Puna: A model for their origin and growth with implications for Mars. *Bulletin* 125 (11-12): 1912-1929. <https://doi.org/10.1130/B30916.1>
- de Silva, S.L.; Roberge, J.; Bardelli, L.; Báez, W.; Ortiz, A.; Viramonte, J.G.; Arnosio, M.; Becchio, R. 2022. Magmatic evolution and architecture of an arc-related, rhyolitic caldera complex: The late Pleistocene to Holocene Cerro Blanco volcanic complex, southern Puna, Argentina. *Geosphere* 18 (2): 394-423. <https://doi.org/10.1130/GES02294.1>
- Di Capua, A.D.; Rosa, R.D.; Kereszturi, G.; Pera, E.L.; Rosi, M.; Watt, S.F.L. 2023. Volcanically-derived deposits and sequences: a unified terminological scheme for application in modern and ancient environments. *Geological Society, Special Publications* 520 (1): 11-27. London. <https://doi.org/10.1144/SP520-2021-201>
- Di Vito, M.A.; Rucco, I.; de Vita, S.; Doronzo, D.M.; Bisson, M.; de' Michieli Vitturi, M.; Rosi, M.; Sandri, L.; Zanchetta, G.; Zanella, E.; Costa, A. 2023. Lahar events in the last 2000 years from Vesuvius eruptions - Part 1: Distribution and impact on densely inhabited territory estimated from field data analysis. *Solid Earth* 15: 405-436. <https://doi.org/10.5194/se-15-405-2024>
- Fernández-Turiel, J.L.; Perez-Torrado, F.J.; Rodríguez-González, A.; Saavedra, J.; Carracedo, J.C.; Rejas, M.; Lobo, A.; Osterrieth, M.; Carrizo, J.I.; Esteban, G.; Gallardo, J.; Ratto, N. 2019. The large eruption 4.2 ka cal BP in Cerro Blanco, Central Volcanic Zone, Andes: Insights to the Holocene eruptive deposits in the southern Puna and adjacent regions. *Estudios Geológicos* 75 (1): e088. <https://doi.org/10.3989/geol.43438.515>
- Folk, R.L.; Ward, W.C. 1957. Brazos River bar [Texas]: a study in the significance of grain size parameters. *Journal of Sedimentary Research* 27 (1): 3-26. <https://doi.org/10.1306/74d70646-2b21-11d7-8648000102c1865d>
- Froese, D.G.; Zazula, G.D.; Reyes, A.V. 2006. Seasonality of the late Pleistocene Dawson tephra and exceptional preservation of a buried riparian surface in central Yukon Territory, Canada. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 25 (13-14): 1542-1551. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2006.01.028>
- Galván, A.F. 1981. Descripción Geológica de la Hoja 10 e, Cafayate (Provincias de Tucumán, Salta y Catamarca). Servicio Geológico Nacional. Carta Geológico-Económica, escala 1:200.000. Boletín 177: 49 p. Buenos Aires.
- Giordano, G.; Cas, R.; Wright, J.V. (Editors). 2024. Explosive Eruption Styles, Columns, and Pyroclastic Fallout Deposits: Subaerial and Subaqueous, Dynamics, Deposit Characteristics and Classification. *In* *Volcanology: Processes, Deposits, Geology and Resources*. Springer International Publishing: 519-691. Cham.
- González, O.E. 1997. Geología de La Angostura, valle de Tafi, Tucuman. *In* *Congreso Geológico Argentino*, No. 14, Actas 1: 283-286. Salta.
- Harpel, C.J.; de Silva, S.L.; Salas, G. 2011. The 2 ka Eruption of Misti Volcano, Southern Peru-The Most Recent Plinian Eruption of Arequipa's Iconic Volcano. *Geological Society of America, Special Papers* 484. <https://doi.org/10.1130/2011.2484>
- Henderson, S.T.; Pritchard, M.E. 2013. Decadal volcanic deformation in the Central Andes Volcanic Zone revealed by InSAR time series: Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems 14: 1358-1374. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ggge.20074>
- Hermanns, R.L.; Schellenberger, A. 2008. Quaternary tephrochronology helps define conditioning factors and triggering mechanisms of rock avalanches in NW Argentina. *Quaternary International* 178 (1): 261-275. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2007.05.002>
- Hermanns, R.L.; Trauth, M.H.; Niedermann, S.; McWilliams, M.; Strecker, M.R. 2000. Tephrochronologic constraints on temporal distribution of large landslides in Northwest Argentina. *The Journal of Geology* 108 (1): 35-52. <https://doi.org/10.1086/314383>
- Hermanns, R.L.; Niedermann, S.; Villanueva García, A.; Schellenberger, A. 2006. Rock avalanching in the NW Argentine Andes as result of complex interactions of lithologic, structural and topographic boundary conditions, climate change and active tectonics. *In* *Massive Rock Slope Failure: New Models for Hazard*

- Assessment (Evans, S.G.; Scarascia-Mugnozza, G.; Strom, A.; Hermanns, R.L.; editors). NATO Science Series: 515-539-569. Kluwer. https://gfzpublic.gfz.de/pubman/item/item_232273
- Iverson, R.M. 2003. Gravity-driven mass flows. In *Encyclopedia of sediments and sedimentary rocks* (Middleton, G.V.; Church, M.J.; Coniglio, M.; Hardie, L.A.; Longstaffe, F.-J.; editors). Encyclopedia of Earth Sciences Series. Springer: 347-353. Dordrecht. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-3609-5_106
- Janda, R.J.; Daag, A.S.; Delos Reyes, P.J.; Newhall, C.G.; Pierson, T.C.; Punongbayan, R.S.; Rodolfo, K.; Solidum, R.U.; Umbal, J.V. 1996. Assessment and response to lahar hazard around Mount Pinatubo, 1991-1993. In *Fire and mud: eruptions and lahars of Mount Pinatubo, Philippines* (Newhall, C.G.; Punongbayan, R.S.; editors). Philippine Institute of Volcanology and Seismology, and Seattle and University of Washington Press: 107-140. Seattle.
- Jenkins, S.F.; Wilson, T.M.; Magill, C.; Miller, V.; Stewart, C.; Blong, R.; Marzocchi, W.; Boulton, M.; Bonadonna, C.; Costa, A. 2015. Volcanic ash fall hazard and risk. In *Global Volcanic Hazards and Risk* (Loughlin, S.C.; Sparks, S.; Brown, S.K.; Jenkins, S.F.; Vye-Brown, C.; editors). Cambridge University Press: 173-222. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781316276273.005>
- Manville, V.; Cronin, S. J. 2007. Breakout lahar from New Zealand's crater lake. *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union* 88 (43): 441-442. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007EO430001>
- Manville, V.; Németh, K.; Kano, K. 2009. Source to sink: A review of three decades of progress in the understanding of volcanoclastic processes, deposits, and hazards. *Sedimentary Geology* 220 (3-4): 136-161. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sedgeo.2009.04.022>
- Manville, V.; Major, J.J.; Fagents, S.A. 2013. Modeling lahar behavior and hazards. In *Modeling Volcanic Processes: The Physics and Mathematics of Volcanism* (Fagents S.A.; Gregg, T.K.P.; Lopes, R.M.C.; editors). Cambridge University Press: 300-330. Cambridge. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139021562.014>
- Mingari, L.A.; Collini, E.A.; Folch, A.; Báez, W.; Bustos, E.; Osorio, M.S.; Reckziege, F.; Alexander, P.; Viramonte, J.G. 2017. Numerical simulations of windblown dust over complex terrain: the Fiambalá Basin episode in June 2015. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 17 (11): 6759-6778. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-6759-2017>
- Montero-López, M.C.; Hongn, F.D.; Seggiaro, R.; Marrett, R.; Ratto, N. 2009. Relación entre el volcanismo y los registros arqueológicos en el bolsón de Fiambalá. In *Entrelazando ciencias, sociedad y ambiente antes de la conquista española* (Ratto, N.; editor). Eudeba: 131-156. Buenos Aires.
- Montero-López, M.C.; Hongn, F.; Brod, J.A.; Seggiaro, R.; Marrett, R. and Sudo, M. 2010. Magmatismo ácido del Mioceno Superior-Cuaternario en el área de Cerro Blanco-La Hoyada, Puna Austral. *Revista de la Asociación Geológica Argentina* 67 (3): 329-348. <https://revista.geologica.org.ar/raga/article/view/652>
- Mulas, M.; Chunga, K.; Garcés León, D.O.; Escobar Segovia, K.F. 2019. Sedimentological study of distal rain-triggered lahars: The case of west coast of Ecuador. *Latin American journal of sedimentology and basin analysis* 26 (1): 1-17.
- Newhall, C.; Self, S.; Robock, A. 2018. Anticipating future Volcanic Explosivity Index (VEI) 7 eruptions and their chilling impacts. *Geosphere* 14 (2): 572-603. <https://doi.org/10.1130/GES01513.1>
- Lamberti, M.C.; Chiodi, A.; Agosto, M.; Filipovich, R.; Massenzio, A.; Báez, W.; Tassi, F.; Vaselli, O. 2021. Carbon dioxide diffuse degassing as a tool for computing the thermal energy release at Cerro Blanco Geothermal System, Southern Puna (NW Argentina). *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 105: 102833. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsames.2020.102833>
- Lavigne, F.; Thouret, J.C.; Voight, B.; Suwa, H.; Sumaryono, A. 2000. Lahars at Merapi volcano, Central Java: an overview. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 100 (1-4): 423-456. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0273\(00\)00150-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0273(00)00150-5)
- Losano, N.; Villarosa, G.; Beigt, D.; Amat, P.; Cottet, J.; Outes, V. 2024. Hydrologic remobilisation of tephra-fall deposits: A sedimentological analysis throughout fluvio-lacustrine systems of North-West Patagonia. *The Depositional Record* 10 (5): 670-684. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dep2.274>
- Lowe, D.J. 2011. Tephrochronology and its application: a review. *Quaternary Geochronology* 6 (2): 107-153. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2010.08.003>
- Paredes-Mariño, J.; Forte, P.; Alois, S.; Chan, K.L.; Cigala, V.; Mueller, S.B.; Poret, M.; Spanu, A.; Tomašek, I.; Tournigand, P.; Perugini, D.; Kueppers, U. 2022. The lifecycle of volcanic ash: advances and ongoing challenges. *Bulletin of Volcanology* 84 (5): 51. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00445-022-01557-5>
- Peña Monné, J.L.; Sampietro-Vattuone, M. 2018. Paleoaambientes holocenos del valle de Tafi (Noroeste Argentino) a partir de registros morfosedimentarios y geoarqueológicos. *Boletín Geológico y Minero de España* 129 (4): 671-691. <https://doi.org/10.21701/BOLGEOMIN.129.4.005>

- Petrinovic, I.A.; D'Elia, L. 2018. Rocas Volcaniclasticas: Depósitos, Procesos y Modelos de Facies, desde el origen hasta las zonas finales de depositación. Asociación Argentina de Sedimentología.; 172 p., La Plata
- Pierson, T.C.; Major, J.J. 2014. Hydrogeomorphic Effects of Explosive Volcanic Eruptions on Drainage Basins. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences* 42 (1): 469-507. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth-060313-054913>
- Pierson, T.C.; Janda, R.J.; Thouret, J.C.; Borrero, C.A. 1990. Perturbation and melting of snow and ice by the 13 November 1985 eruption of Nevado del Ruiz, Colombia, and consequent mobilization, flow and deposition of lahars. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 41 (1-4): 17-66. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-0273\(90\)90082-Q](https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-0273(90)90082-Q)
- Pierson, T.C.; Janda, R.J.; Umbal, J.V.; Daag, A.S. 1992. Immediate and long-term hazards from lahars and excess sedimentation in rivers draining Mount Pinatubo, Philippines. U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 92-4039. <https://doi.org/10.3133/wri924039>
- Pistolesi, M.; Cioni, R.; Rosi, M.; Aguilera, E. 2014. Lahar hazard assessment in the southern drainage system of Cotopaxi volcano, Ecuador: Results from multiscale lahar simulations. *Geomorphology* 207: 51-63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2013.10.026>
- Ratto, N. 2013. A modo de introducción: la articulación de estudios arqueológicos, paleoambientales e históricos en el oeste tinogasteño (Catamarca). In *Delineando prácticas de la gente del pasado: Los procesos socio-históricos del oeste catamarqueño* (Ratto, N.; editor). Sociedad Argentina de Antropología: 17-44. Buenos Aires.
- Robock, A. 2000. Volcanic eruptions and climate. *Reviews of geophysics* 38 (2): 191-219.
- Ruiz Huidobro, O.J. 1972. Descripción Geológica de la Hoja 11 e, Santa María, Provincias de Catamarca y Tucumán, escala 1:200.000. Servicio Nacional Minero Geológico, Carta Geológico-Económica de la República Argentina, Boletín 134: 90 p. Buenos Aires. <http://repositorio.segemar.gov.ar/308849217/522>
- Sampietro-Vattuone, M.M.; Peña-Monné, J.L. 2016. Geomorphological dynamic changes during the Holocene through ephemeral stream analyses from Northwest Argentina. *Catena* 147: 663-677. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CATENA.2016.08.029>
- Sampietro-Vattuone, M.M.; Peña-Monné, J.L. 2019. Geomorphology of Tafi valley (Tucumán Province, Northwest Argentina). *Journal of Maps* 15 (2): 177-184. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17445647.2019.1567403>
- Sampietro-Vattuone, M.M.; Báez, W.; Peña-Monné, J.L.; Sola, A. 2020. Chronological and geomorphological approach to the Holocene tephra from Tafi and Santa María valleys, NW Argentina. *Quaternary Research* 94: 14-30. <https://doi.org/10.1017/qua.2019.78>
- Seggiaro, R.; Hongn, F.; Folguera, A.; Clavero, J. 2006. Hoja Geológica 2769-II Paso de San Francisco, Provincia de Catamarca. Programa Nacional de Cartas Geológicas, escala 1:250.000. Servicio Geológico Minero de Argentina, Boletín 294: 76 p. Buenos Aires.
- Smith, G.A.; Lowe, D.R. 1991. Lahars: volcano-hydrologic events and deposition in the debris flow-hyperconcentrated flow continuum. In *Sedimentation in Volcanic Settings* (Fisher, R.V.; Smith, G.A.; editors). SEPM Special Publication. Society for Sedimentary Geology 45: 59-70. Tulsa.
- Sohn, Y. K. 1997. On traction-carpet sedimentation. *Journal of Sedimentary Research* 67 (3): 502-509. <https://doi.org/10.1306/D42685AE-2B26-11D7-8648000102C1865D>
- Sohn, C.; Sohn, Y. K. 2019. Distinguishing between primary and secondary volcaniclastic deposits. *Scientific Reports* 9 (1): 12425. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-48933-4>
- Toselli, A.J.; Rossi, D.; Toselli, J.N.; Rapela, C.W. 1978. El basamento metamórfico de la Sierra de Quilmes, República Argentina. *Revista de la Asociación Geológica Argentina* 33 (2): 105-121. Buenos Aires.
- Vallance, J.W. 2005. Volcanic debris flows. In *Debris-flow hazards and related phenomena* (Jakob, M.; Hungr, O.; editors). Springer: 247-274. Berlín. https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-27129-5_10
- Vallance, J.W. 2024. Lahars: Origins, Behavior and Hazards. In *Advances in Debris-flow Science and Practice* (Jakob, M., McDougall, S., Santi, P.; editors). Springer: 347-382. Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-48691-3_12
- Vallance, J.W.; Iverson, R.M. 2015. Lahars and their deposits. In *The encyclopedia of volcanoes* (Sigurdsson, H.; editor). Academic Press: 649-664. Amsterdam. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-385938-9.00037-7>
- Vélez, M.L.; Bustos, E.; Euillades, L.; Blanco, M.; López, J.F.S.; Barbero, I.; Berrocoso, M.; Martinez, A.G.; Viramonte, J.G. 2021. Ground deformation at the Cerro Blanco caldera: A case of subsidence at the Central Andes BackArc. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 106: 102941. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsames.2020.102941>
- Walker, G.P.L. 1981. Plinian eruptions and their products. *Bulletin volcanologique* 44 (3) 223-240.

- White, J.D.; Houghton, B.F. 2006. Primary volcaniclastic rocks. *Geology* 34 (8): 677-680. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G22346.1>
- Wilson, T.M.; Cole, J.W.; Stewart, C.; Cronin, S.J.; Johnston, D.M. 2011. Ash storms: impacts of wind-remobilised volcanic ash on rural communities and agriculture following the 1991 Hudson eruption, southern Patagonia, Chile. *Bulletin of Volcanology* 73 (3): 223-239.
- Witham, C.S. 2005. Volcanic disasters and incidents: a new database. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 48 (3-4): 191-233. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2005.04.017>
- Wilson, L.; Sparks, R.S.J.; Walker, G.P.L. 1980. Explosive volcanic eruptions IV. The control of magma properties and conduit geometry on eruption column behaviour. *Geophysical Journal International* 63 (1): 117-148. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.1980.tb02613.x>
- Yamakoshi, T.; Doi, Y.; Osanai, N. 2005. Post-eruption hydrology and sediment discharge at the Miyakejima volcano, Japan. *Zeitschrift für Geomorphologie Supplementary* 140: 55-72. Berlin-Stuttgart.