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ABSTRACT. The Reflejos de Mar Li-pegmatite, located in northwestern Argentina, is part of the Villismán pegmatite group, Ancasti District, Pampean Pegmatite Province. Four garnet crystals from the outermost part of the pegmatite were analyzed by major and minor elements (SiO2, TiO2, Al2O3, Cr2O3, MgO, CaO, MnO, FeO) using electron microprobe. The pegmatite belongs to the rare-element class, spodumene type, LCT (Li-Cs-Ta) petrogenetic family. Based on their Mn and Fe contents, the analyzed garnet can be assigned to the spessartine-almandine series. The cores and rims of the analyzed garnets show significant differences for the divalent components in VIII-coordination, especially, Mn and Fe. The average MnO and FeO contents in the cores of the grains is 34.6 and 8.29 wt%, respectively, while in the rims is 29.31 and 12.95 wt%, respectively. The Fe/Mn ratio at the core of the grains is 0.24 while at the rims it is 0.44. Cr2O3 and TiO2 contents are very low (˂0.17 wt%) and the values of SiO2 and Al2O3 are ~36 and ~21 wt%, respectively. The mean chemical and molecular formulas of the core can be expressed: [(Mn2.40 Fe0.57 Ca0.02 Mg0.02)3.01 (Al1.99 Cr0.002)2.00 (Si2.99 Ti0.01)3.00 O12]; {Sps79.8 Alm18.9 Grs0.7 Prp0.5}; and the rim as: [(Mn2.04 Fe0.89 Ca0.05 Mg0.04)3.02 (Al2.00 Cr0.002)2.00 (Si2.98 Ti0.005)3.00 O12]; {Sps67.6 Alm29.5 Grs1.6 Prp1.3}. The chemical composition of garnet from the Reflejos de Mar pegmatite is similar to other worldwide examples in similar rocks, especially LCT pegmatites, which are highly evolved and associated with Li mineralization. Therefore, its composition could be used as an additional tool in the exploration of Li-bearing pegmatites in the Pampean Pegmatite Province. The differences in Fe-Mn contents between core and rim of the crystals would be controlled by variations in composition of the pegmatic melt and, in addition, by the simultaneous precipitation of other mineral phases, for example, schorl and Mn-Fe-bearing phosphates.

RESUMEN. Composición del granate de la pegmatita LCT Reflejos de Mar, distrito Ancasti, Argentina, y su implicancia en la exploración de depósitos primarios de litio.

La pegmatita Reflejos de Mar, ubicada en el distrito Ancasti de la Provincia Pegmatítica Pampeana (PPP), en el noroeste de Argentina, pertenece a la familia LCT (Li-Cs-Ta). Mediante microsonda electrónica, se determinaron los contenidos de elementos mayores y menores (SiO2, TiO2, Al2O3, Cr2O3, MgO, CaO, MnO, FeO) del centro y borde de cuatro cristales de granates provenientes de una muestra extraída de la zona más externa de la pegmatita. De acuerdo con sus contenidos de Mn y Fe, los granates son asignados a la serie espesartina-almandino. Los centros y los bordes de los cristales analizados tienen similares valores de SiO2 y Al2O3 ~36 y ~21%, respectivamente, mientras que los contenidos de Cr2O3 y TiO2 son muy bajos (<0,17%). El mayor contraste
1. Introduction

Garnet forms a very extensive and complex supergroup that includes isostructural minerals, but it is also a group with the same name within this same supergroup (Grew et al., 2013). Following these authors, the garnet group can be represented by the chemical formula: $X_3Y_2Z_3\phi_{12}$, where X and Y are mainly divalent cations in VIII-coordination such as Fe$^{2+}$, Ca, Mg and Mn, and trivalent cations in VI-coordination such as Al, Fe$^{3+}$ and Cr, respectively, while Z is occupied by tetravalent cations, fundamentally Si, in tetrahedral coordination; $\phi$ is essentially O, but also, in a few cases, OH and/or F (e.g., Geiger and Rossman, 2020). According to the dominant cation that occupies the position of both X and Y in the isometric structure of the mineral, the group is divided into the following species: pyrope (Prp; Mg$^{2+}$, Al$^{3+}$), almandine (Alm; Fe$^{2+}$, Al$^{3+}$), spessartine (Sps; Mn$^{2+}$, Al$^{3+}$), grossular (Grs; Ca$^{2+}$, Al$^{3+}$), andradite (Adr; Ca$^{2+}$, Fe$^{3+}$), and uvarovite (Uv; Ca$^{2+}$, Cr$^{3+}$) (e.g., Grew et al., 2013).

Concerning its occurrence, garnet is a common accessory mineral in a wide range of crystalline rocks (igneous and metamorphic) and as detritus in sedimentary rocks (Deer et al., 1997; Alderton, 2020). The chemical composition of the mineral is used in the first group of rocks for petrogenetic implications, including thermobarometry (e.g., Deer et al., 1997; Alderton, 2020), while in the second group of rocks, for information on provenance of sediments (e.g., Mange and Morton, 2007).

Garnet is also present extensively in pegmatites and aplites of different classes and degree of magmatic evolution, but especially in those originating from aluminous sources (LCT family), where it is associated with minerals such as muscovite and tourmaline (London, 2008; Maner et al., 2019). In these pegmatic systems, the predominant composition of garnet is spessartine and, to a lesser extent, almandine (Černý et al., 1985; Sokolov and Khlestov, 1990; London, 2008; Muller et al., 2009; Hernández-Filiberto et al., 2021; Sousa et al., 2021).

The chemical composition of garnet from granitic pegmatites is useful for various geological applications such as an important tool to elucidate trends in petrogenesis and magmatic evolution (Černý et al., 1985; Nakano and Ishikawa, 1997; London, 2008; Javanmard et al., 2018; Sami et al., 2020; Hernández-Filiberto et al., 2021; Sousa et al., 2021; Yu et al., 2021), identifying geochemical and geological characteristics of processes and reactions in magmatic/hydrothermal/metamorphic environments (London, 2008; Nejbert et al., 2018; Yu et al., 2021), as a tool for mineral exploration in pegmatites (Sokolov and Khlestov, 1990), especially those Li-bearing (Heimann et al., 2012; Moretz et al., 2013; Heimann, 2015) and even applications in gemology (Laur and Knox, 2001).

The objective of this work is to reveal the chemical composition of the core and the rim of four garnet crystals from the outermost zone of the Reflejos de Mar pegmatite located in the Ancasti District of the Pampean Pegmatite Province (PPP) in northwestern Argentina. From these data, we aim to evaluate the use of garnet composition in the exploration for Li bearing pegmatites in the PPP and, in addition, to obtain information concerning the magma from which these rocks were formed.

Palabras clave: Espesartina-almandino, Pegmatita LCT, Grupo pegmatítico Villismán, Distrito Ancasti, Sierras Pampeanas.
2. Regional and local geological setting

The Pampean Pegmatitic Province (PPP) contains several districts of different mineralogical paragenesis, geochemical signature and age (Galliski, 1994, 2009). Pegmatites of the Muscovite and Rare Element classes predominate, including within these, the LCT (Li-Cs-Ta) and NYF (Nb-Y-F) families according to the classification of Černý and Ercit (2005). The pegmatites of the PPP are hosted in crystalline rocks (igneous and metamorphic) mainly of Early and Late Paleozoic age, in the geological province of the Sierras Pampeanas, in the central and northwestern sector of Argentina (e.g., Toselli et al., 1986, 2007; Pankhurst et al., 2000; Rapela et al., 2001; Rossi et al., 2002; Miller and Söllner, 2005; Dahlquist et al., 2006, 2013).

The Ancasti pegmatitic district is part of the PPP located in the homonymous mountain-range (Galliski, 1994). The Sierra de Ancasti has a predominantly N-S orientation and is located in the southeast sector of the Catamarca province, Argentina (Fig. 1A). It is composed of metamorphic rocks of medium and high metamorphic grade, including phenomena of partial melting (anatexis). The protolith was siliciclastic sedimentary rocks and to a lesser extent calcareous, deposited in a marine basin (Miller and Willner, 1981; Aceñolaza et al., 1983; Willner, 1983).

The metamorphic rocks of the Sierra de Ancasti are intruded by small plutons and stocks, mainly of granitic composition and Paleozoic age, although the magmatic peak would have taken place during the Lower and Middle Ordovician (Knüver, 1983; Toselli et al., 1983, 2011; Cisterna, 2003; Dahlquist et al., 2011, 2012; Ryziuk et al., 2014; Marangone et al., 2020).

The Ancasti pegmatitic district contains pegmatites, generally with zoned internal structure and of

![FIG. 1. A. Simplified geologic map of the northern part of the Sierra de Ancasti, Argentina showing the location of the Reflejos de Mar Li-pegmatite, modified of Marangone et al. (2020). B. Detailed map of the northern area of the Reflejos de Mar Li-pegmatite, modified of Sardi et al. (2017).]
different mineral paragenesis. They mainly intrude metamorphic rocks, and to a lesser extent also granitic rocks (Lottner, 1983). Galliski (1999) recognized pegmatitic groups with main paragenesis of Be- and Li- rich minerals, one of them being the Villismán pegmatitic group in the north-central sector of the mountain-range.

The mineralogy of the pegmatites in this district includes K-feldspar, quartz, and plagioclase as essential minerals and muscovite, biotite, beryl, garnet, spodumene, tourmaline, triplite, triphyllite-lithophyllite, amblygonite-montebasite, apatite, and zircon as accessory minerals (Galliski, 1999). The pegmatites of the Sierra de Ancasti can be classified as belonging to the class of rare elements and to the beryl, spodumene or albite-spodumene types (Galliski, 1994, 1999; Galliski et al, 2022) according to the classification of Černý and Ercit (2005).

3. The Reflejos de Mar Li-pegmatite

It forms part of the Villismán pegmatite group (Fig. 1B) and its coordinates are 28º27'21” S and 65º26'55” W. The pegmatite host rocks are schists and the contacts are sharp and concordant with the regional structure of the metamorphic rocks. In some sectors tourmalinization of the host rock occurs.

The lithology of the host rocks of the Reflejos de Mar pegmatite consists essentially of banded schists and mica-schists with lens-like intercalations of quartz micacites and calc-silicate rocks (Aceñolaza and Toselli, 1977). Banding subparallel to the original stratification in the schists is represented by an intercalation of clear layers rich in quartz and feldspars and very high amount of mica; and dark layers, composed mainly of biotite, in addition to muscovite and accessory minerals.

The pegmatitic body is tabular in shape with an approximate N-S strike and a vertical to subvertical dip. The length of the body can reach about 75 m and the average thickness is about 4 m (Sardi et al., 2017). The internal structure of the pegmatite shows symmetrical zoning (Herrera, 1964; Fernández Lima et al., 1972), with the transitional contacts between the different zones. The grain size increases successively from the border to the core of the body (Sardi et al., 2013).

The outermost zones of the pegmatite are constituted by the border and external zones defined by Sardi et al. (2017). The first is in direct contact with the host rock, with a thickness of a few centimeters, and is sometimes absent. The texture is equigranular, fine- to very fine-grained, composed of quartz, K-feldspar (microcline), plagioclase and muscovite. Fernández Lima et al. (1972) described in addition zircon, tourmaline, apatite, and topaz. The external zone has a greater thickness and grain-size. Cleavelandite appears abundantly in this zone with a composition determined by Fernández Lima et al. (1972) of An_0-5. Accessory minerals in this zone are tourmaline, zircon, rutile, spessartine, spodumene and beryl, and scarce sillimanite (Herrera, 1964; Fernández Lima et al., 1972). The intermediate and central zones are considered the innermost zones of the body. The first one is more developed of the body reaching up to 4 m thick and contains abundant accessory minerals, some of which are extraordinarily large, e.g., 1.5 m or more (Sardi et al., 2017). It is composed of quartz and albite (cleavelandite variety) and scarce perthitic microcline; accessory minerals include spodumene, muscovite, apatite, beryl, garnet. Fernández Lima et al. (1972) also recognize lithiophilite and sillimanite. The central zone is about 2 m thick and shows the largest granulometry of the body with some crystals with more than 1 m in length (Sardi et al., 2013), composed essentially of quartz, spodumene and albite (cleavelandite). Particularly for the Reflejos de Mar pegmatite, Galliski et al. (2022) add as accessory minerals, columbite-tantalite group minerals, triplite, triphylite and amblygonite.

4. Materials and methods

The analyzed garnets are from a single sample from the external zone of the Reflejos de Mar pegmatite of the Villismán Pegmatite Group, very close to the host rock. They appear grouped in very small masses no larger than 2 cm across, associated with quartz and feldspars. The shape of the garnets is idiomorphic and subidiomorphic, somewhat tabular in sectors. They appear pearly orange in color with a vitreous luster (Fig. 2). In thin section, garnet is colorless with a pink tint, high relief, and very few to no mineral inclusions.

The polished thin section of the mineral necessary for the chemical analysis was prepared in the laboratory of the Institute of Geosciences of the Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul (Brazil).
At this same site, point analysis was carried out at the core and rim of four idiomorphic and sub-idiomorphic garnet grains using a CAMECA electron microprobe whose analytical conditions were an accelerating voltage of 15 keV, a probe current of 15nA and beam size of 5 µm. Albite was the standards used for Na; sanidine for Si, Al and K; diopside for Mg and Ca; hematite for Fe; rutile for Ti; chromium oxide for Cr and Rhodonite GEO MKII for Mn.

5. Results

The table 1 shows the chemical composition of the cores and rims of each of the four garnet crystals analyzed in this study. The compositional zoning stands out mainly in the divalent component in VIII-coordination (Fig. 3A, B) since the tetravalent cations in IV-coordination and trivalent cations in VI-coordination maintain nearly constant values both in the core and at the rim of the mineral. Thus, the average content of SiO$_2$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ in the cores of the grains is similar to that of the rims and corresponds to 36.4% and 20.6 wt%, respectively. Cr$_2$O$_3$ content is very low (avg. 0.03 wt%) and in most cases below detection level. The TiO$_2$ concentration has an average value in the core of 0.14 wt% while at the rim of the grains its value decreases to half that value (0.07 wt%). The figure 3B is a multi-element diagram showing graphically the compositional differences between core and rim of the grains expressed in wt%.

The component with the highest concentration corresponding to divalent cations is MnO in the analyzed sample, being variable between 34.07 and 35.39 wt% (avg. 34.5 wt%) in the core of the grains and between 28.25 and 30.77 wt% (avg. 29.31 wt%) at the rims. In terms of abundance within these cations, FeO follows in importance with values between 7.18 and 8.96 wt% (avg. 8.29 wt%) in the core of the grains and 11.78 and 13.75 wt% at the rims (avg. 12.95 wt%). The Fe/Mn ratio at the core of the grains is 0.24 while at the rims it is 0.44.

The average content of CaO and MgO in the core of the grains is 0.24 and 0.13 wt%, respectively, while at the rims it is 0.55 and 0.33 wt%.

There is a significant major elements compositional zoning (Table 1 and Fig. 3), which is characteristic in garnets for this kind of LCT pegmatic systems. The minor components Ti and Cr, between the tetravalent cations in the tetrahedral position and the trivalent cation in the hexahedral position, vary from core to rim. However, the major divalent metals in the octahedral position, MnO and FeO, and the minor ones, MgO and CaO, also show notable changes in their concentrations from core to rim.
TABLE 1. CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF MAJOR ELEMENTS OF THE GARNET FROM THE REFLEJOS DE MAR PEGMATITE, ANCASTI DISTRICT, PPP, ARGENTINA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Grain 1 □</th>
<th>Grain 2 □</th>
<th>Grain 3 ◊</th>
<th>Grain 4 △</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>Rim</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>Rim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxides (wt%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>36.19</td>
<td>36.02</td>
<td>36.68</td>
<td>36.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>20.55</td>
<td>20.58</td>
<td>20.47</td>
<td>20.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>35.39</td>
<td>29.30</td>
<td>34.26</td>
<td>30.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>12.82</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>11.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>99.88</td>
<td>99.47</td>
<td>100.69</td>
<td>100.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cation (wt%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>16.91</td>
<td>16.84</td>
<td>17.14</td>
<td>17.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>10.88</td>
<td>10.89</td>
<td>10.84</td>
<td>10.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>27.41</td>
<td>22.69</td>
<td>26.54</td>
<td>23.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>9.96</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>9.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe/Mn</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apfu (base of 24 oxygens)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>5.973</td>
<td>5.964</td>
<td>6.005</td>
<td>6.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5.987</td>
<td>5.984</td>
<td>6.021</td>
<td>6.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>1.775</td>
<td>1.199</td>
<td>1.610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extreme member (mol. %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prp</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grs</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sps</td>
<td>82.40</td>
<td>68.39</td>
<td>78.83</td>
<td>70.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alm</td>
<td>16.50</td>
<td>29.54</td>
<td>19.89</td>
<td>26.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Components: **Prp**: Pyrope; **Grs**: Grossular; **Sps**: Spessartine, **Alm**: Almandine.
In short, the core and rim of the analyzed garnet grains have a chemical and molecular formula that could be expressed as follows:

**Core**

Chemical formula: $(\text{Mn}_{2.40} \text{Fe}_{0.57} \text{Ca}_{0.02} \text{Mg}_{0.02})_{3.01}$

$(\text{Al}_{1.99} \text{Cr}_{0.002})_{2.00} (\text{Si}_{2.99} \text{Ti}_{0.01})_{3.00} \text{O}_{12}$

Molecular formula: $\text{Sp}_{79.8} \text{Al}_{18.9} \text{Gr}_{0.7} \text{Pr}_{0.5}$

**Rim**

Chemical formula: $(\text{Mn}_{2.04} \text{Fe}_{0.89} \text{Ca}_{0.05} \text{Mg}_{0.04})_{3.02}$

$(\text{Al}_{2.00} \text{Cr}_{0.002})_{2.00} (\text{Si}_{2.98} \text{Ti}_{0.001})_{3.00} \text{O}_{12}$

Molecular formula: $\text{Sp}_{67.6} \text{Al}_{29.5} \text{Gr}_{1.6} \text{Pr}_{1.3}$

Consequently, the Fe/Mn ratio and the amount of Mn (wt%) also shows the compositional zoning of the garnet of the Reflejos de Mar pegmatite (Fig. 4). The core and the rim of the crystals contain between 26.4 and 27.4 wt% Mn (avg. 26.7 wt%) and 21.9 and 23.8 wt% Mn (avg. 22.7 wt%), respectively, while the Fe/Mn ratio is between 0.20 and 0.26 (avg. 0.24) in the core of the crystal and between 0.38 and 0.49 (avg. 0.44) at the rim.

6. Discussion

Mn and Fe are the most abundant major divalent cations forming part of the spessartine and almandine component in the garnet of the outermost zones of the Reflejos de Mar pegmatite. The minor components Ca and Mg, contained in grossular and pyrope respectively,
are subordinated. This Mn-rich composition found in the studied garnet has been reported in several granitic pegmatites and also aplites distributed throughout the world (e.g., Černý et al., 1985; London, 2008), most of which are highly evolved and frequently associated with Li mineralization belonging to the LCT pegmatite family (Fig. 5; Heimann et al., 2012; Heimman, 2015; Moretz et al., 2013; Nejbert et al., 2018; Hernández-Filiberto et al., 2021; Sousa et al., 2021). The experimental studies by Maner et al. (2019) have suggested that an advanced fractional crystallization process (~95%) is necessary from the initial melt composition to achieve the formation of Mn-rich garnet, therefore, the spessartine composition is expected in highly fractionated granite-pegmatite systems in final stages of magmatism.

A Mn-rich core and the tendency to decrease towards the rim in individual garnet crystals of the Reflejos de Mar pegmatite, with the concomitant increase mainly of Fe in the same direction as crystallization proceeds, is a behavior similar to world numerous examples (Leake, 1967; Manning, 1983; Černý et al., 1985; Nakano and Ishikawa, 1997; Yu et al., 2021).

These variations in the composition of the magmatic garnets would be controlled by the composition of the original pegmatitic magma and the ability of the minerals that crystallize simultaneously with garnet to fractionate common elements from the melt (Černý et al., 1985; Maner et al., 2019; Hernández-Filiberto et al., 2021), and also due to the difference in the growth rate of garnet (Nakano and Ishikawa, 1997).

For the case of the Reflejos de Mar pegmatite, the concomitant crystallization of garnet together with Fe-rich tourmaline (schorl), Mn-Fe bearing phosphates, minerals of the columbite-tantalite group, and subordinately apatite and muscovite may have influenced the garnet composition.

7. Conclusions

• The compositionally zoned garnet of the Reflejos de Mar LCT family Li-pegmatite from the Ancasti district has an average molecular constitution of SpS_{79.9}Alm_{18.9} in the core of the crystal and SpS_{67.6}Alm_{29.5} in the rim. The analyzed garnets show a composition compatible with garnets present in Li-bearing pegmatites, formed from a highly fractionated magma.

• Garnet composition can be used as an additional tool for exploration of Li-bearing pegmatites in the PPP.

• The compositional variations between core and rim in the individual grain would be linked to the original composition of the pegmatitic magma and regulated by the simultaneous co-precipitation with garnet of other mineral phases that would fractionate common elements of the melt.

FIG. 4. Orthogonal diagram Fe/Mn versus Mn (wt%) for garnets of the Reflejos de Mar pegmatite, Sierra de Ancasti, Argentina.
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